## Exercises 3.5

Prove the following theorems:

1. Theorem. Let $a, b$ be real numbers. If $a>0$ and $b>0$, then $(a+b)^{2}>a^{2}+b^{2}$.
2. Theorem. Let $a, b$ be real numbers. If $a<0$ and $b<0$, then $(a+b)^{2}>a^{2}+b^{2}$.
3. Theorem. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, if $x$ and $y$ are rational, then $x+y$ is rational.
4. Theorem. For all integers $a, b$, and $c$, if $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c|(a+b), c|(a-b)$, and $c \mid(a i)$ for any integer $i$.
5. Theorem. Let $n$ be an integer. If $21 \mid n$, then $3 \mid n$ and $7 \mid n$.
6. Theorem. Suppose $n$ is an integer. If $3 \mid n$ and $7 \mid n$, then $21 \mid n$.
7. Theorem. For every integer $n$, if $n$ is odd, then $4 \mid\left(n^{2}-1\right)$.
8. Theorem. Suppose $m, n$ are positive integers. If $m \mid n$, then $m \leq n$.
9. Theorem. For all positive integers $a$ and $b$, if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, then $a=b$.
10. Theorem. Let $a, b, x, y$ be negative integers. If $a<b$ and $x<y$, then $a x>b y$.
11. Theorem. Let $a>0$ and $b<-4$ be real numbers. Then $a b+b<-4(a+1)$.
12. Theorem. For all integers $a$ and $b$, if $a \mid b$, then $a^{2} \mid b^{2}$.
13. Theorem. Suppose $m, a, b, c, d$ are integers. If $m \mid(a-b)$ and $m \mid(c-d)$, then $m \mid((a+c)-(b+d))$.
14. Theorem. Let $m, a, b, c, d$ be integers. If $m \mid(a-b)$ and $m \mid(c-d)$, then $m \mid(a c-b d)$.
15. Theorem. Let $a, b, d$ be real numbers. If $0 \leq a<d$ and $0 \leq b<d$, then $a-b<d$ and $b-a<d$.
16. Theorem. If $0 \leq a<d$ and $0 \leq b<d$, then $-d<a-b<d$ where $a, b, d \in \mathbb{R}$.
17. Theorem. For all integers $a, b, c, d$, if $a \neq c$ and $a d \neq b c$, then there exists a unique rational number $x$ such that $\frac{a x+b}{c x+d}=1$.
Exercise Notes: For Exercises 1 and 2, one should review the substitution properties of inequality 3.3.3. For Exercise 6, use the identity $n=7 n-6 n$. For Exercise 17, after you identify $x$ in your proof, you must prove that $c x+d \neq 0$.

### 3.6 Statements of the Form $P \vee Q$

Consider the statement " $P$ or $Q$." To show that this statement is true, we must verify that either $P$ is true or that $Q$ is true. So we can try to prove $P$ or try to prove $Q$. This direct approach can sometimes be difficult, as we may then have to work with an inadequate set of assumptions. Fortunately, logic offers us an easier approach. We know that $(P \vee Q),(\neg P \rightarrow Q)$, and $(\neg Q \rightarrow P)$ are all logically equivalent. Thus, to prove $(P \vee Q)$, we can either prove $(\neg P \rightarrow Q)$ or prove $(\neg Q \rightarrow P)$. In either case, we obtain a new assumption that we can use in our proof. We can now introduce a proof strategy for such "or" statements.

